

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/752,781 01/03/2001		01/03/2001	Hiroshi Sumida	MI 003-US/OH	1396	
466	7590	06/06/2002				
YOUNG &			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
745 SOUTH ARLINGTO		REET 2ND FLOOI 2202	R	RUTHKOSK	RUTHKOSKY, MARK	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1745 DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	5	
		•		DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	J	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		12-3					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/752,781	SUMIDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Ruthkosky	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	ugust 2001 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under bull bull bull bull bull bull bull bul</li></ol>							
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 January 2001</u> is/are:	·	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	•	` ,					
		roved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/	(a)-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under oo o.o.o. 3 110(	(a) -(a) 61 (1).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	ity documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stage					
	·						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/752,781

Art Unit: 1745

#### DETAILED ACTION

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Drawings

2. The drawings received on 1/3/2001 are approved by the draftsperson.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 8/22/2001 has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/752,781

Art Unit: 1745

5. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Capparella et al. (US 5,698,176.)

The instant claims are to manganese dioxide having a sodium content of 0.05 to 0.2% by weight.

Capparella et al. (US 5,698,176) teaches a manganese compound with a sodium content of 0.05% (as shown in example 1 and Table 1.) The starting material is electrolytic manganese dioxide that is neutralized with a base. Lithium primary cells are described in col. 1. Thus, the claims are anticipated.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nagayama et al. (US 6,383,683.)

The instant claims are to a process for producing manganese dioxide having a sodium content of 0.05 to 0.2% by weight. The process includes the steps of neutralizing electrolytic manganese dioxide with an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide wherein the solution contains 2.0-5.0 g of NaOH per kg of manganese dioxide.

Nagayama et al. (US 6,383,683) teaches a process where 10 kilograms of electrolytic manganese dioxide are neutralized with an aqueous solution of 35 grams of sodium hydroxide in water. The weight ratio therefore contains 3.5 grams of NaOH per kg of manganese dioxide, which is in the range of 2.0-5.0 g of NaOH per kg of manganese dioxide. The resulting material contains sodium in an amount of 0.05 to 1.0 wt. % (see the claims.) As such, the claims are anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,781

Art Unit: 1745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capparella et al. (US 5,698,176) as applied above, and further in view of EP 373,791.

Capparella et al. (US 5,698,176) teaches a manganese compound with a sodium content of 0.05% (as shown in example 1 and Table 1.) The starting material is electrolytic manganese dioxide, which is neutralized with a base. Lithium primary cells are described in col. 1.

Capparella et al. (US 5,698,176) does not teach the manganese dioxide to have a phosphorous content of 0.05 to 2.0% by weight. EP 373,791 teaches a lithium primary cell having a phosphorous content of 0.05 to 2.0% by weight based on manganese dioxide (see claims 1-3.) It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare a manganese dioxide material to have a phosphorous content of 0.05 to 2.0% as taught by EP 373,791 in order to achieve a high discharge voltage and long discharge time (see EP 373,791 page 2, lines 30-41.) The prior art teaches that discharge characteristics in a lithium primary cell are degraded if the phosphorous content is higher than 2.0%.

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagayama et al. (US 6,383,683) as applied above, and further in view of EP 373,791.

Nagayama et al. (US 6,383,683) teaches a process where 10 kilograms of electrolytic manganese dioxide are neutralized with an aqueous solution of 35 grams of sodium hydroxide in

Art Unit: 1745

water. The weight ratio therefore contains 3.5 grams of NaOH per kg of manganese dioxide, which is in the range of 2.0-5.0 g of NaOH per kg of manganese dioxide. The resulting material contains sodium in an amount of 0.05 to 1.0 wt. % (see the claims.) Nagayama et al. (US 6,383,683) does not teach the manganese dioxide to have a phosphorous content of 0.05 to 2.0% by weight. EP 373,791 teaches a lithium primary cell having a phosphorous content of 0.05 to 2.0% by weight based on manganese dioxide (see claims 1-3.) It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare a manganese dioxide material to have a phosphorous content of 0.05 to 2.0% as taught by EP 373,791 in order to achieve a high discharge voltage and long discharge time (see EP 373,791 page 2, lines 30-41.) The prior art teaches that discharge characteristics in a lithium primary cell are degraded if the phosphorous content is higher than 2.0%.

#### Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1193. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 703-305-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:00.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 703-308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.